BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 4836 / 2004

PETITIONER : Keshav Ram Nishad
S/o Late Makhanlal Nishad
P.O. Dewkar, Tah : Saja,
Dist : Durg (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary,
Department of Education, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through : Secretary, Department
of Education, Bhopal (M.P.).
3. Union of India, Delhi.
4. Collector, Durg.
5. District Education Officer, Durg.
6. Principal Purva Madhyamik Shala
Sahaspur, Post Dewkar, Tahsil :
Saja, Dist : Durg (C.G.).

P.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri ..... dated .....

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS. CERTIORARI AND OTHER APPROPRIATE WRITS/ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

27

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.4836 OF 2004

Petitioner	:	Keshav Ram Nishad
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Ms. Sudha Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. V.V.S.Murthy, Deputy Advocate General for respondents No.1, 4, 5 and 6.
None appears for respondents No.2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER
(21st April, 2006)

The petitioner's father Shri Makhanlal Nishad died on 23-2-1998 in harness while working as Assistant Teacher in Purva Madhyamik School, at Sahaspur, P.O. Dewkar, Tahsil Saja, District Durg (Chhattisgarh). The case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment was duly forwarded by the concerned District Education Officer. However, the petitioner has not been given compassionate appointment till date.

2. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2-2-2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1-11-1997 to 31-10-2000 for compassionate appointment.

3. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2-

28

2-2006 and also in view of the order dated 20-12-2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal